

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/139,298

APPLICATION NO.

08/25/98

FILING DATE

ANDERSON

ARM-11206/06 **EXAMINER**

IM52/0214

THOMAS E ANDERSON GIFFORD KRASS GROH SPRINKLE PATMORE ANDERSON & CITOWSKI 280 NORTH OLD WOODWARD SUITE 400 BIRMINGHAM MI 48009

SHIEUNITER, PAPER NUMBER

DATÉ MÁILED:

02/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/139,298**

Curtis E. Sherrer

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Anderson

1761

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 1, 2000</u>
☐ This action is FINAL.
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay\@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).
Disposition of Claim
X Claim(s) <u>1-8, 12-14, and 16-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideratio
Claim(s) is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.
Claim(s) is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requiremen
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:
☐ Acknowledgranent is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial'Number: 09/139,298

2

Art Unit: 1761

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior

copending Application No. 08/940,107, filed 9/29/97. The current status of all nonprovisional

parent applications referenced should be included.

Drawings

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for

examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out

his invention.

4. Claims 1-8 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey

to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has amended the claims with the phrase "means

for receiving" and specificational basis for this phrase was not found.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

3

Serial'Number: 09/139,298

Art Unit: 1761

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

7. Claims 3 and 17 are of the same scope, i.e., both refer to the electronic customer interface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-8 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Litwak (Supermarket Business) or Muskai (PC Magazine) in view Stear (Handbook of Breadmaking Technology) and in further view of Stern et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,054,059).
- 10. Litwak, Muskai and Stear teach that cited in previous Office Actions. They do not teach a direct electrical link between the customer and the apparatus that produces the bread. Stern et al broadly teach the use of a telephone dialing system that utilizes means as set forth in col. 1, lines 28-48, for the "automated telephone ordering system for the purchase of goods" (col. 1,

Serial Number: 09/139,298

Art Unit: 1761

lines 22-23). It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to utilize an

automated communications device, as disclosed by Sterns et al, in the automated process of

producing bread, as disclosed by Litwak or Muskai in view of Stear for its notoriously well

known benefits of reducing manpower, ease of use, etc.

11. As to the placement of the variously claimed means within a housing, it is considered that

a building is broadly a housing and therefore this limitation is anticipated.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 12/01/00 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach or make obvious the instantly claimed

invention because "the prior art [] is directed to a different problem." In response to Applicant's

argument, the fact that Applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally

from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the

differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App.

& Inter. 1985).

13. Applicant states that the prior art teachings do not disclose "a consumer to select a grain

based product from a variety of recipes utilizing different ingredients and producing a freshly

4

Serial Number: 09/139,298

Art Unit: 1761

baked product for the consumer with no other human involvement." The above rejection has been

made to overcome this deficiency.

Conclusion

14. No claim is allowed.

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Bullas (USPN 3,746,130) and Cotter (USPN 4,797,818) disclose automated means

of accessing products, such as food.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Curtis Sherrer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3847. The examiner

can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 to 4:30. The fax phone number

for this Group is (703)-305-3602.

17. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer

Primary Examiner

February 8, 2001

5